## Exhibit B

```
1
                  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
                      NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
 2
                             EASTERN DIVISION
3
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                  Plaintiff.
 4
                                            No. 01 CR 567-2
 5
        VS.
                                            Chicago, Illinois
    FRANK RODRIGUEZ,
 6
                                            April 30, 2002
                                            10:00 o'clock a.m.
 7
                  Defendant.
 8
                        TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
 9
                BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAMES F. HOLDERMAN
10
    APPEARANCES:
11
                                  HON. PATRICK J. FITZGERALD
    For the Plaintiff:
                                  United States Attorney
12
                                  219 South Dearborn Street
                                  Chicago, Illinois 60604
13
                                  (312) 353-6117
                                  BY: MR. CHRISTOPHER D. NIEWOEHNER
14
                                  VILLALOBOS & ASSOCIATES
    For the Defendant:
15
                                  BY: MR. RAUL VILLALOBOS
                                  1620 West 18th Street
16
                                  Chicago, Illinois 60608
                                  (312) 666-9982
17
    Also Present:
                                  Mr. Jeffrey Granich
18
20
21
22
23
                      COLLEEN M. CONWAY, CSR, CRR
                        219 South Dearborn Street
24
                               Room 2144-A
                         Chicago, Illinois 60604
25
                              (312) 435-5594
```

```
2
       (Proceedings in open court. Defendant not present in open
1
2
        court).
            THE CLERK: 01 CR 567, United States versus Rodriguez.
3
            MR. NIEWOEHNER: Good morning, your Honor.
4
            Chris Niewoehner on behalf of the government.
5
            MR. VILLALOBOS: Attorney Raul Villalobos on behalf of
6
   Mr. Frank Rodriguez.
7
            MR. GRANICH: Your Honor, my name is Jeffrey Granich.
8
    I'd be seeking leave to file my appearance on this matter today.
9
             MR. VILLALOBOS: Judge --
10
             THE COURT: Is Mr. Rodriguez here?
11
             MR. VILLALOBOS: Yes, he is, Judge.
12
             MR. GRANICH: Yes, Judge.
13
             THE COURT: I don't see him.
14
             THE MARSHAL: Counsel had requested a few more minutes
15
    to talk with him.
16
             MR. GRANICH: I was speaking to him, your Honor, about
17
18
    my --
            THE COURT: You are whom again?
19
             MR. GRANICH: My name is Jeffrey Granich. I was
20
    recently retained.
21
             THE COURT: Mr. Granich, are you a member of the trial
22
23
    bar here?
             MR. GRANICH: Yes, I am, Judge.
24
             THE COURT: Okay. When did you become a member of the
25
```

```
3
1
   trial bar?
             MR. GRANICH: In 1992, Judge.
2
             THE COURT: 1982? If I --
3
             MR. GRANICH:
                           '92.
4
5
             THE COURT: I'm sorry?
             MR. GRANICH: '92.
6
             THE COURT: '92.
7
             THE CLERK: Can I have a spelling?
8
             MR. GRANICH: Sure, G-r-a-n-i-c-h.
9
             THE CLERK: And your first name?
10
             MR. GRANICH: Jeffrey.
11
             THE COURT: We're not talking about the general bar.
12
    We're talking about the trial bar.
13
             MR. GRANICH: Judge, it's been some time since I've
14
    been in federal court. I know that I'm a member of the general.
15
    I believe I am a member of the trial bar.
16
             THE COURT: If you're not a member of the trial bar,
17
    you cannot represent a criminal defendant in this district.
18
             So you became a member of the general bar when?
19
             MR. GRANICH:
                           In '92.
20
             THE COURT: In 1992?
21
             MR. GRANICH: Yes.
22
             THE COURT: All right. And you would have had to have
23
    become a member --
24
             MR. GRANICH: I was sworn in in this building in 1992.
25
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

```
THE COURT: That would be a general bar swearing-in,
and you would have had to have been a member of the trial bar
some time after that after you filed an application setting
forth your experience and paid your initial trial bar dues.
         THE CLERK: I have him as a member of the general bar
but not the trial bar.
         THE COURT: My clerk has pulled up the computer, and if
you are not a member of the trial bar, you cannot under our
local rules come in to represent a criminal defendant.
         So I have to deny your request.
        MR. GRANICH: Thank you, Judge.
        THE COURT: If you want to --
        MR. GRANICH: If there is any --
        THE COURT: -- petition to become a member of the trial
bar at some point, then I'll certainly reconsider, but I can't
do anything today --
        MR. GRANICH: I understand, your Honor.
        THE COURT: -- because it would be violative of the
local rules.
        MR. GRANICH: I understand, your Honor.
        I apologize. I thought that I was a member of the
```

20

trial bar as well.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

Well, what's the status?

```
1
             MR. VILLALOBOS: That's a good -- Judge, maybe we could
2
   have another brief recess so I could talk to my client in view
   of what has transpired.
3
             THE COURT: Okay. How much time do you need?
4
5
             MR. VILLALOBOS: Ten minutes.
6
             THE COURT: All right. Take a ten-minute recess.
7
    Thank you.
        (Recess. Defendant present in open court.)
8
9
             MR. NIEWOEHNER: Good morning, your Honor.
             Chris Niewoehner on behalf of the government.
10
11
    excuse me.
                        01 CR 567, United States versus Rodriguez.
12
             THE CLERK:
13
             MR. NIEWOEHNER: Forgive me, your Honor.
14
             Chris Niewoehner on behalf of the government.
15
             MR. VILLALOBOS: Attorney Raul Villalobos on behalf of
    Mr. Frank Rodriguez. Judge --
16
17
             THE COURT: All right. Good morning.
             Good morning, Mr. Rodriguez.
18
19
            THE DEFENDANT: Good morning, your Honor.
20
             THE COURT: What's the status?
             MR. NIEWOEHNER: Your Honor, we have a signed plea
21
    agreement which I'll tender to the Court.
22
             THE COURT: All right. Mr. Rodriguez, I understand
23
    from this document that just was handed up to me that it's your
24
    intention to offer a plea of guilty to Count 1; is that correct?
25
```

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, before I can accept your plea of guilty, I must determine several things. I must determine that you're competent to plead at this time, that you have had the assistance of counsel, that you understand your trial rights, you understand the nature of the charges against you, that your plea is a voluntary act on your part, and that there is a factual basis for your plea.

Do you understand what I have explained?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I must put you under oath and ask you questions about this matter, but before I do that, I want to make sure that you understand that you may consult with your lawyer while I'm asking you these questions and I'll interrupt the proceedings at any point to allow you to speak with your lawyer merely upon your request.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

answers to any questions that I ask you could subject you to a possible prosecution for perjury or giving of a false statement? And in giving truthful answers to some of my questions, you will be waiving your right not to testify against yourself, some of your answers will be incriminating, and you will have to admit your guilt? Do you understand what I have explained?

```
7
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
 1
 2
             THE COURT: All right. I'm going to ask my clerk to
 3
    swear in Mr. Rodriguez.
             THE CLERK: Raise your right hand.
 4
 5
        (Defendant duly sworn.)
 6
             THE COURT: All right. Sir, would you state your full
 7
    name.
             THE DEFENDANT: Frank Rodriguez.
 8
 9
             THE COURT: All right. And how old are you, sir?
10
             THE DEFENDANT: Twenty-seven years old.
11
             THE COURT: And where do you live?
             THE DEFENDANT: Chicago.
12
             THE COURT: All right. Whereabouts in Chicago?
13
             THE DEFENDANT: 4820 North Avers.
14
15
             THE COURT: All right. And are you married, sir?
             THE DEFENDANT: No, no, I'm not.
16
17
             THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
             THE DEFENDANT: Twelfth grade.
18
            THE COURT: All right. And did you graduate from high
19
    school?
20
21
             THE DEFENDANT: No, I did not.
             THE COURT: All right. What kind of work have you done
22
    in the last three years?
23
             THE DEFENDANT: Basically worked at car dealerships and
24
    delivery driver and copier delivery and copier technician.
25
```

THE COURT: All right. And are you in good physical 1 2 health? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 3 THE COURT: All right. Have you taken any drugs or 4 5 alcoholic beverages within the last 24 hours? 6 THE DEFENDANT: No, I have not. 7 THE COURT: All right. Have you ever been under the 8 care of a doctor or in a hospital for a mental condition or addiction to narcotic drugs? 9 THE DEFENDANT: 10 No. THE COURT: All right. Let me ask counsel if either of 11 12 you have any doubt as to Mr. Rodriguez's competence to plead guilty at this time? 13 14 MR. VILLALOBOS: No, Judge. I have talked to Mr. 15 Rodriguez at length about this plea. I believe he is competent to plead, undertake this plea agreement. 16 17 MR. NIEWOEHNER: Your Honor, the only thing is what Mr. Villalobos last Thursday raised, that his client was excited. 18 19 I think if Mr. Villalobos is not concerned, then the government has no further information that would make us 20 21 question his competency. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Villalobos, you made a 22 23 comment that was kind of, in my opinion, a little bit out of the

blue, that was never anticipated from seeing Mr. Rodriguez over

the time that he's been a defendant in front of me that you

24

thought he needed to be examined for competency.

Now you have no problem with his competency?

MR. VILLALOBOS: That's -- Judge, I think the part that I was alluding to was the fact that we were having somewhat of a difficult time preparing for the trial and discussing his analysis of how the trial should be conducted, and we could not come to agreement as to that aspect of the trial.

THE COURT: Mr. Rodriguez had a certain view as to how the trial should be conducted?

MR. VILLALOBOS: That is correct, Judge.

THE COURT: Was that view contrary to your view?

MR. VILLALOBOS: It'd be contrary to my advice on how it should be conducted if this went to trial.

THE COURT: Was it a logical view?

MR. VILLALOBOS: I believe that based on my experience as a trial lawyer that my viewpoint would result -- would be better helping him in his trial. His view is different than that of his father's. So I said that basically that the way we conducted trials here, certain evidence could not be admissible, I tried to explain to Mr. Rodriguez.

THE COURT: Well, people have differences of opinion. That doesn't mean one of the people who differs in his opinion is incompetent. But you took it to a whole new level that I certainly never anticipated, and certainly from viewing and speaking with Mr. Rodriguez today and speaking with Mr.

Rodriguez every time he's been in court, I never thought that anyone would believe that somehow he's not competent.

And so you're saying that you raised his competency because he disagreed with your trial strategy?

MR. VILLALOBOS: That was part of it, Judge, yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Rodriguez, I want to hear anything you want to say. You just raised your hand, and I want --

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: -- to hear --

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: -- what you want to say, yes.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay, your Honor. Like I said previous when I spoke in front of you, the reason why I was getting so loud -- and I believe what happened between my defense attorney and I was something between he and I.

Now, why I believe that based on what my arguments were to him, he went back and told prosecution that I was crazy and I was going off with him, this and that, but the reason why I was so upset with him, as your Honor knows, four times he came to see me, he refused to give me a copy of my indictment and he also refused to give me what the evidence was towards my case. And I asked him four times that he visited me, and he refused to give them to me. I asked him, "Do you not work for me?" He said, "I do not work for you."

Later, the second time he visited me, he said, "Well"

-- my father wanted to fire him. He said, "Well, your father wants to fire me, but, you know, you're my client, you know. So, you know, I really want, you know, to listen to you, not to your father, because you're my client." And I said, "Wait a minute. Didn't I" -- "didn't we" -- "you told me last week you weren't" -- "you didn't work for me, but yet I can't" -- "you're supposed to be my defense attorney, but yet you're supposed to give me what the evidence is which I have no documents of." Even to this day now I have no documents, no nothing of my case which I asked him for.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: And that's the reason why I was, you know, getting excited and arguing, because -- I mean, I believe I -- it's somewhat of the law that they're supposed to give you, you know, any type of document pertaining to your case, and I never received anything, even when I asked him, and that's the reason why I got excited with him, and I don't know what the reason was for it.

THE COURT: Mr. Villalobos, what about your client's comments?

MR. VILLALOBOS: Judge, there are certain documents that I did not -- that I -- we discussed but I did not give him copies of.

THE COURT: What are those documents?

MR. VILLALOBOS: Judge, the documents regarding one of

the government witnesses. 1 THE COURT: Well, did you give him a copy of the 2 3 indictment? MR. VILLALOBOS: Judge, I think he gave me a copy of 4 the indictment. Well, his father gave me a copy of documents, 5 6 that he had the indictment. THE COURT: My question to you, sir, is: Did you give 7 him a copy of the indictment? 8 MR. VILLALOBOS: No, I did not. 9 THE COURT: All right. And the reason you did not give 10 him a copy of the indictment was because you believed he already 11 had a copy of the indictment when --12 13 MR. VILLALOBOS: Well, we went through the charges of the indictment, the counts, two counts of the indictment. I 14 didn't --15 THE COURT: That wasn't my question. 16 MR. VILLALOBOS: I did not give him a physical 17 document. 18 THE COURT: And the reason you didn't give him a copy 19 of the indictment was that you thought he already had a copy of 20 the indictment? 21 MR. VILLALOBOS: No, Judge, I -- the reason I didn't 22 give it to him on that day was because I didn't have it and --23

THE COURT: I'm not talking about any particular day.

24

25

I'm talking about ever.

Did you ever give him a copy of the indictment? 1 MR. VILLALOBOS: No. I did not. 2 3 THE COURT: And what is the reason, I will ask a 4 non-leading question since you don't seem to want to answer my 5 leading question, what is the reason that you didn't give him a 6 copy of the indictment? 7 MR. VILLALOBOS: Judge, he did not request one until just a little while ago. We were --8 THE COURT: So when I asked at the initial arraignment 9 did he have a copy of the indictment, that was an incorrect 10 statement when I was told he was given a copy of the indictment? 11 MR. NIEWOEHNER: Your Honor, if I might, there was a 12 different lawyer who was representing him, Frank Tedesso. 13 14 given him a copy of the indictment. THE COURT: Okay. Well, Mr. Villalobos --15 MR. VILLALOBOS: Yes. 16 THE COURT: -- give him a copy of the indictment. 17 MR. VILLALOBOS: That's fine. 18 THE COURT: A criminal defendant is entitled to a copy 19 of his indictment. Mr. Rodriguez is absolutely correct on that 20 point. It seems to me that it shows substantial competency on 21

MR. NIEWOEHNER: Your Honor, I have a copy of the indictment which I'll tender to defense counsel.

THE COURT: All right.

his part.

22

23

24

1 MR. VILLALOBOS: Here's a copy of the indictment. 2 (Discussion between defendant and his attorney.)

THE COURT: Mr. Villalobos, I didn't say read it to him. I said give it to him.

MR. VILLALOBOS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Rodriguez, what else didn't he give you?

THE DEFENDANT: Just basically the copy of the indictment. I mean --

THE COURT: Okay. And there were some other papers?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, but --

THE COURT: The discovery?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, I never got the lab results or no discovery, and I asked him for it, like I said, four times, and every time he came back -- the thing is to me it was kind of -- to me, I mean, like you say, I have to be honest, but to me, I believe -- you know, I can't tell anybody their job, but I believe as somebody who has a title of a very important role as an attorney, to be more professional, whereas to -- if I asked you -- if you came to see me four times, and each time I asked you, "Next time you come, could you please bring me the" -- "any, you know, whatever evidence may be, whether it'd be discovery or lab results," and every time he came back, "Well, I forgot it in the office. I forgot it in the office," I mean, it seems to me if you visit your client, you're supposed to write

things down. And I asked him several times to write stuff down. He refused to.

THE COURT: Mr. Villalobos?

MR. VILLALOBOS: Judge, like I said, the last time he gave -- asked me for the indictment, it was today.

Other requests that I -- he did not make the requests of me, and that's part of the disagreement that we had, is that he would tell me certain things that I -- that he said, and I disagreed with him, and then we'd get into discussions about how -- about what was requested.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I don't want to get in to that.

MR. VILLALOBOS: I know.

THE COURT: Did the government turn over any discovery material in this case to Mr. Rodriguez's counsel?

MR. NIEWOEHNER: The government has always turned it over to counsel of record. Much of it was turned over to Jim Graham, who is I believe Mr. Villalobos' predecessor, and some to Frank Tedesso.

I'd have to go look at my records to see what precisely was -- I turned over to Mr. Villalobos, but, yes, the government has turned over quite a bit of discovery in this case, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Villalobos, have you provided Mr. Rodriguez with a copy of the discovery the

```
1
    government gave to you on this case?
             MR. VILLALOBOS: I did not, Judge.
 2
 3
             The documents that I received from Mr. Rodriguez were
 4
    the discovery that I received from the government.
 5
             THE COURT:
                         So did you receive any discovery material
 6
    from the government, Mr. Villalobos?
 7
             MR. VILLALOBOS: Yes, I did. No, I -- well, through
 8
    Mr. Graham.
 9
             THE COURT: I'm going to ask that question again, and
    I'm going to ask you to answer the question that I posed.
10
11
             MR. VILLALOBOS: I'm just --
12
             THE COURT: Did you receive any discovery material from
13
    the government?
14
             MR. VILLALOBOS: Yes, I did.
15
             THE COURT: Okay. Did you receive that discovery
16
    material directly from the government or through Mr. Rodriguez's
17
    prior counsel?
             MR. VILLALOBOS: The majority through Mr. Graham, the
18
19
    prior counsel, and Mr. Rodriguez himself.
20
             THE COURT: Did you receive any of the discovery
21
    material that you received from the government directly from the
22
    government?
23
             MR. VILLALOBOS: Yes. I did.
24
             THE COURT: Did you turn that discovery material that
25
    you received directly from the government over to Mr. Rodriguez
```

so he could review it? 1 2 MR. VILLALOBOS: Yes, I did. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Rodriguez, did you get discovery 4 material from Mr. Villalobos? 5 THE DEFENDANT: No. I did not. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Villalobos, did you give to 7 Mr. Rodriguez the discovery material that you had received from Mr. Graham? 8 9 MR. VILLALOBOS: No, no, your Honor, because -- well, 10 no. 11 THE COURT: Why not? 12 MR. VILLALOBOS: Because Mr. Rodriguez had that same 13 material. And I got -- received what Mr. Graham had given him, 14 he gave it to me, and then I received Mr. Graham's packet, which 15 was identical. 16 THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, if I may? 17 THE COURT: You may. 18 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. According to what he -- he's --19 the reason why I was asking for the papers, the copy of the 20 evidence, lab results and so forth, is because when he came to 21 see me, the things I read previously from the paperwork that Graham had were different from the things he was speaking to me 22 of. So, therefore, I must know, you know, where my previous 23

attorney wasn't -- you know, as if when it was -- it wasn't what

we had on paperwork, according to what you're, you know,

24

explaining to me. That's why I asked him, you know, "Where is a copy of, you know, what are you speaking of?" You know, that's why I asked him before the time he came to visit me I needed to see the copy of my -- whatever it may be, evidence or lab results or so forth, and he refused to give it to me.

And I reminded him every time he left, you know, to write it down, and he refused to write it down. For what reason I do not know.

THE COURT: Mr. Niewoehner, do you have a record in your file of the discovery material that you have provided to the various counsel of Mr. Rodriguez?

MR. NIEWOEHNER: I do, your Honor. I have -- I keep a Bates label, and I -- yes is the --

THE COURT: I assumed you did.

How difficult would it be to photocopy again all of the material you provided to the various lawyers who have represented Mr. Rodriguez?

MR. NIEWOEHNER: I have a master set, your Honor, so it's a relatively easy procedure.

THE COURT: Okay. So how long would it take you to do that?

MR. NIEWOEHNER: Because I know of the time sensitivity, I can go down and in a half an hour to an hour I would imagine I could get what I have in my master discovery file, and I can check and see if there's anything that wasn't in

it.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask the marshals who are here in the courtroom, is there a location that Mr. Rodriguez could review materials in the marshals' lockup today?

THE MARSHAL: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay.

I have no doubt that Mr. Rodriguez is competent to offer a plea of guilty. I want to make sure that he's fully informed about all the information that he should be informed of before he offers a plea of guilty. I want to make sure that he feels that he is fully informed about all the information he should have before he makes the decision to offer a plea of guilty. I want to avoid further conflict between he and his lawyer, if that's possible. I don't want to have to make a decision as to who's telling the truth between defendant or counsel.

I just want to make sure that Mr. Rodriguez has all the information that he should have, and so I'm going to ask government counsel to make a photocopy, deliver that photocopy to the marshals' lockup, I'm going to ask the marshals to provide that information that government counsel brings to the marshals' lockup to Mr. Rodriguez, and we will set the case for a further status.

Let me just ask the marshals, can Mr. Rodriguez take the legal documents or at least can the legal documents that Mr.

Rodriguez receives be transported to the MCC? 1 2 THE MARSHAL: Absolutely, Judge. 3 THE COURT: Okay. All right. You know. I won't set the case for status. We are set 4 5 for trial. If after reviewing the materials Mr. Rodriguez desires to persist in his plea of guilty, I would ask that he do 6 so and let his lawyer know and then we will set a further date. 7 If he desires he does not want to persist in a plea of guilty, 8 we are set for trial. 9 When is the trial preparation date? 10 MR. NIEWOEHNER: It was actually yesterday, your Honor. 11 12 We --13 THE COURT: It was yesterday. MR. NIEWOEHNER: The government was going to -- because 14 it was this morning, was not going to -- was going to sort of 15 let it lie, as it were. 16 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, perhaps we then 17 better set the case for further report on status tomorrow so 18 19 that we will know. 20 Let me ask what your respective schedules are at 2:00 21 o'clock tomorrow afternoon? MR. NIEWOEHNER: Your Honor, I'm supposed to be in a 22 sentencing hearing before Judge Grady at 2:00. 23 24 THE COURT: All right. And when is that sentencing

25

hearing going to be over?

 $$\operatorname{MR}$.$  NIEWOEHNER: Unfortunately, that's going to be, I would anticipate, several hours.

I could get co-counsel if I -- he's not here, he's at a sentencing hearing before another judge right now, but I could ascertain whether he's available or whether I could get somebody else to stand in --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. NIEWOEHNER: -- if that's most convenient with your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Well, 2:00 o'clock would be the best for me, but I don't want to inconvenience any lawyers, but I do want to accomplish whatever Mr. Rodriguez wants to accomplish in this matter.

Mr. Villalobos, are you available tomorrow?

MR. VILLALOBOS: I am available at 2:00 o'clock.

THE COURT: All right. Why don't we set it for 2:00 o'clock. I will just ask government counsel to see if you can get somebody to cover this. I'd appreciate it.

MR. NIEWOEHNER: And, your Honor, just so you're aware, there are tapes. The one thing I won't be able to duplicate easily are tapes that were previously given. I'll give the transcripts that the government has prepared.

THE COURT: That would be appropriate.

You previously provided the tapes to counsel?

MR. NIEWOEHNER: Yes, yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Villalobos, did you play those tapes for your client?

MR. VILLALOBOS: Yes, I received the tapes, the government tapes as well as an additional set of tapes which is identical to the government tapes, for Mr. Rodriguez.

THE COURT: So what's the answer to my --

MR. VILLALOBOS: Yes.

THE COURT: -- question?

MR. VILLALOBOS: Yes, I have.

THE COURT: Okay. Good.

MR. VILLALOBOS: And also transcripts.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. VILLALOBOS: We also received the transcripts.

THE COURT: Yes, just the transcripts, it seems to to me, to be sufficient, but let me just double-check.

Mr. Rodriguez, have you listened to the tapes?

THE DEFENDANT: The ones that Graham gave me, yeah, but the ones told me here, here are some different tapes that he heard -- he said they were different from the ones I had. So I don't know what's -- that's why I asked, you know, for the paperwork and evidence based on what he told me, because I -- I mean, I had -- Graham gave me six tapes that I heard, and I gave them to him. He said that he didn't hear them tapes. He heard some other tapes that the government gave him, that they're supposed to be the same ones but some are more clear, I don't

1 know, the quality, some --THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, let me just ask, 2 to make sure that the content of the tapes are the same, the 3 government has transcripts of the tape-recorded conversations 4 that are in the government's possession that contain the 5 statements of the defendant? 6 MR. NIEWOEHNER: That's correct, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: All right. And you are going to provide 8 those with the photocopying that you're going to do now? 9 MR. NIEWOEHNER: That's exactly right, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Those are the 11 transcripts of the tapes that the government has. 12 So I don't know what Mr. Villalobos has, but what you 13 are going to get, Mr. Rodriguez, is what the government has in 14 15 transcript form, okay? THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Thank you. 17 Thank you, your Honor. MR. NIEWOEHNER: 18 MR. VILLALOBOS: Thank you. 19 (Proceedings concluded.) 20 CERTIFICATE I, Colleen M. Conway, do hereby certify that the 21 foregoing is a complete, true, and accurate transcript of the proceedings had in the above-entitled case before the Honorable 22 JAMES F. HOLDERMAN, one of the judges of said Court, at Chicago, Illinois, on April 30, 2002. 23 Official Court Reporter 24 United States District Court

Northern District of Illinois

Eastern Division